North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

Minutes of the meeting of the Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee held at Brayton Community Centre, Brayton, Selby on 8 November 2019 at 10.00 am.

Present:-

Members:-

County Councillors Richard Musgrave (Chairman), Karl Arthur, Stephanie Duckett, Mel Hobson, Mike Jordan, Andrew Lee, Cliff Lunn, Don Mackay, Andy Paraskos, Chris Pearson and Cliff Trotter; together with Co-opted Member Howard Ferguson.

Officers:-

Hannah Bowles (Business Support), Jane Harvey (Strategic Planning and Development Officer, CYPS), Steve Loach (Democratic Services), Jane le Sage (Assistant Director Inclusion, CYPS) and Karen Siennicki (Interim Head of Service for Mental Health Services, HAS).

In attendance:

County Councillors Don Mackenzie and Patrick Mulligan.

There were eight members of the public in attendance.

Copies of all documents considered are in the Minute Book

48. Chairman's Introduction

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting.

He explained the circumstances regarding the dissolution of Parliament leading to the Committee having to cancel the attendance of local MP, Nigel Adams, due to him no longer being an MP as Parliament had been dissolved and noting that the Committee was in the Purdah period due to the forthcoming General Election. He noted that Howard Ferguson, Co-opted Member, was in attendance in a politically neutral capacity.

He provided details of the planning condition put in place by Selby District Council in relation to the provision of broadband connections in new developments, following a request from the Area Constituency Committee. Members welcomed this approach from Selby District Council.

49. Minutes

Resolved -

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 14 June 2019, having been printed and circulated, be taken as read and confirmed and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

50. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest at this stage of the meeting.

51. Public Questions or Statements

The Chairman noted that there was a public statement to be provided to the meeting, however, this was in relation to the item on the proposed special free school, and he suggested that this be delivered at the time of the consideration of that item. All agreed to this course of action.

52. Mental Health, Housing and Homelessness

Considered -

The report of the Corporate Director - Health and Adult Services requesting Members to consider issues around mental health, housing and homelessness, how they correlate, how these matters had been addressed and to consider where improvements could be made to the services provided in addressing those issues.

The Interim Head of Service for Mental Health Services, Karen Siennicki, introduced the report highlighting the following:-

- Four out of five people who were homeless were thought to have mental health conditions.
- Potential loss of a job and income, breakdown in family networks and loss of daily living skills, due to the impact of the mental health condition, could lead to someone becoming homeless.
- Poor housing or homelessness could also increase the chance of developing mental health conditions.
- For many people support was provided in either one of the situations above and the Council aimed to focus on a holistic approach to support people to regain skills, social networks and an income, and an ability to access and maintain housing for the long term.
- The County Council had recently reviewed its mental health service and the nature of support provided to people with mental health. The focus had moved from working in an integrated team with health partners, often supported by using therapy in clinical setting, to being co-located with health teams, with the purpose of having a more community based approach and looking at the wider issues that may be impacting on a person's wellbeing.
- NYCC works under the Care Act and assessments are completed under a number of domains linking to managing and maintaining a home environment.

- The service will focus on a person's strengths through the assessment process, looking at what a person is able to do for themselves and outcomes that they would like to achieve through any support required.
- ♦ NYCC Mental Health offers a team of Support Time Recovery Workers who offer a range of support to people, many areas of which can prevent a person losing their home or working towards moving to more appropriate accommodation.
- Details of the interventions were outlined in the report.
- NYCC Mental Health Teams also work closely with community and voluntary sector organisations.
- The Council's Living Well Team also provide support to people with mental health problems in relation to their housing circumstances.
- ♦ The Council commissions Horton Housing support who provide housing support to people with mental health problems.
- Homelessness is the statutory responsibility of the District Council under the Homeless Reduction Act. This Act has more emphasis on prevention than previous housing legislation. The Council does recognise, however, that it continues to have responsibility to consider the needs of individuals in line with the requirements of the Care Act and support, to maintain appropriate housing, would apply to this legislation. It also recognises the negative impact that homelessness has which can lead to increased demands on social care services.
- The Council has developed a collaboration agreement with the District and Borough Councils which would see £39k being invested in Selby to support homeless prevention for vulnerable people.
- ♦ The service would be integrated as part of the range of homeless prevention services that housing authorities provide, which include:-
 - loans/grants
 - discretionary housing payments
 - housing benefit/DWP links
 - bonds/rent in advance
 - prevention pot to assist with the prevention of homelessness
 - access to credit unions
 - access to affordable housing
 - private rented schemes and initiatives
 - specialist housing law advice.
- The service would develop more effective pathways for people by building stronger relationships between District/Borough Council officers and relevant NYCC officers such as Living Well, Care and Support, Income Maximisation Team and Mental Health staff.
- In conclusion it was suggested that it would be useful for the Committee to examine further the respective roles of Selby District Council, in relation to their statutory duty under the Homeless Reduction Act, or housing and the Council's Mental Health Teams roles to determine whether there were any processes that could be improved.

Following the presentation Members raised the following issues and points:-

- A Member noted that he was only aware of one high profile homelessness case in the Selby District and asked whether the results of the homelessness survey for the district were available, and whether these outlined more cases of homelessness in the area. In response it was stated that Public Health had undertaken the survey, details of which would be circulated, via the Clerk to Members. It was noted that homelessness within the Selby District was lower than the other districts within the county.
- It was asked how the various authorities and organisations co-ordinated to provide an effective service in preventing homelessness and how referrals were effectively co-ordinated to ensure that appropriate action was being taken as soon as possible. In response it was noted that referrals were obtained from a variety of sources and the aim of the integrated service was to ensure that an appropriate response was delivered efficiently and effectively in a co-ordinated manner. The development of the new service allowed a much more effective community response to issues that arose. It was expected that the community approach to the matter would allow the service to be more pro-active going forward.
- It was asked whether rough sleeping was taken account of through the co-ordinated services. In response it was stated that this was the case with work taking place alongside a variety of people to try and prevent rough sleeping where possible and give support where this was occurring. In relation to this, and referring back to the incident highlighted earlier, it was noted that there had been concerns for the welfare of the high profile rough sleeper, who had been sleeping on the streets of Tadcaster. The Member noted that despite the issue being brought to the attention of a number of agencies this was passed from one agency to another, without appropriate action being taken and it was asked how this could be guarded against. In response it was acknowledged that issues arose, in terms of taking the lead on matters, when a multi-agency approach had been adopted, therefore, the County Council would be acting as the conduit for such instances to ensure that the co-ordinated approach was undertaken and that the matter was dealt with appropriately, through one contact. It was emphasised, however, that unless an individual was deemed to be incapable of making their own reasonable decisions, then only advice could be provided.
- A Member noted that refuge accommodation for homeless people was often time limited and resulted in them having to move on, within a short period of time, often into other situations where homelessness could occur. It was suggested that an approach to entrepreneurs could be undertaken with a view to obtaining funding to ensure that people faced with being homeless could settle into an area and not face the prospect of having to continually move on. In response it was stated that issues around capacity and temporary refuge accommodation would be obtained and circulated to Members.

In relation to the issue raised in respect of homeless people being moved from area to area it was noted that this issue was being addressed through the development of the North Yorkshire co-ordinated approach, ensuring that places were provided for people who found themselves homeless to ensure they could get to a point where they were able to find accommodation for themselves. The investment outlined earlier in the report would assist the people outlined in developing the skills and knowledge required to move forward themselves.

- Noting that Selby had a relatively small number of homeless people it was asked whether neighbouring authorities had a significantly higher number. In response it was stated that the details had been collected via a Public Health survey and would be provided to Members following the meeting.
- It was asked who should be called when a rough sleeper is seen on the streets. In response it was stated that much depended upon the severity of the case, however, initially, the Police should be called, allowing them to carry out a welfare check. The Police would then determine the level of risk and which authorities to involve. It was stated that the Police should be contacted on the 101 number. A Member stated that the District Council should also be contacted as they would provide assistance to anyone in this situation.
- A Member noted the issues that had arisen in the Harrogate area, noting that some homeless people had been offered accommodation, but had refused that, and wondered how that situation was addressed. In response it was acknowledged that such situations were challenging and some people chose to be homeless. Every effort would be made to try and accommodate homeless people but when this was refused other assistance would be provided. In relation to this it was noted that the person referred to earlier in the meeting, who was homeless in Tadcaster, had also turned down assistance. It was emphasised that there were a number of complex issues relating to this particular person's circumstances which had resulted in them sleeping rough. In relation to this it was emphasised that pro-active work was very important in ensuring that issues were addressed at initial stages, to prevent homelessness and rough sleeping from occurring in the first place.
- ◆ The Member for Tadcaster referred to the incident in Tadcaster noting that the person in question was suffering from mental health issues and had found it hard to get help from a number of agencies. Despite the intervention of Members it had been stated, at the time, that no accommodation was available to assist the person and the system was confusing for Members who were trying to seek assistance. He noted that this person had now left the area and it was hoped that he had returned to family.
- It was asked whether the collaboration between the District Council and the County Council on homelessness and mental health issues had commenced. In response it was stated that clarification of this position would be obtained and provided to Members, but it was believed that this was now in place.
- Members emphasised the need for pro-active work to prevent homelessness and address mental health issues.

Resolved -

- (i) That the additional information highlighted during discussion of the report be provided to Members accordingly.
- (ii) That Karen Siennicki be thanked for her presentation the content of which be noted.

53. Proposed Special Free School

Further to Minute No. 51 (Public Questions or Statements) it was noted that representatives of the Osgodby Residents Action Association were in attendance to provide a public statement in respect of this item.

The Chairman invited Jan Mitchell the Secretary of the Action Association to provide the statement which she did as follows:-

Residents of Osgodby believe that land at Millfield Ings, Osgodby, is unsuitable for any development, including that of a SEND school. We also believe that both residents and councillors have been kept in the dark and told half truths about the processes and decisions in selection of this site.

The Chairman then introduced Jane le Sage, Assistant Director Inclusion, CYPS and Jane Harvey, Strategic Planning and Development Officers, CYPS who would present the report.

The following highlights of the report were detailed:-

- The local authority had a statutory responsibility to keep its special educational provision under review, ensuring efficiency in placements to meet the needs of children and young people with SEND, working with parents, young people and providers.
- In view of this the local authority had developed a strategic plan for SEND.
- ♦ During engagement and consultation on the plan strong support for the establishment of a special school in Selby had been established. During the formal consultation 94% of survey respondents agreed, or strongly agreed, with the proposals for the establishment of a special school in the Selby area.
- Due to the lack of such a school children and young people were having to travel to other special schools in North Yorkshire, or in neighbouring local authorities, or to independent/non-maintained special schools. In October 2019 119 children and young people from Selby attended such schools.
- The average current daily travel distance for children and young people who reside in Selby and attended a North Yorkshire special school was 27 miles. This was higher than any other district in the county. The need for children and young people to travel long distances to school not only impacted on their wellbeing, readiness to learn and time away from their families and community but also increased pressure on SEN transport costs.

The bid for a Special Free School in Selby area

- In view of the gap a bid was submitted to establish a special free school in the Selby area, to support the County Council's vision for all children and young people with special educational needs in North Yorkshire to have the best educational opportunities, be able to attend a school or provision as close to their home as possible, and to make progress with learning, have good social and emotional health and be prepared for a fulfilling adult life.
- During the bidding process the Government was particularly looking for bids from local authorities that:-
 - had a clear strategy
 - set out how the new school would help the local authority achieve better outcomes
 - supported inclusion
 - offered good value for money focus on helping to manage high needs costs and identifying a value for money site which could be secured in a timely manner

- showed that a new school would be financially sustainable
- benefitted a wider geographical area
- extended the free schools programme to areas that had not previously benefitted.
- The bid was submitted in October 2018 and was supported by parents/carers and professionals in the Selby area and by Selby District Council. In March 2019 the local authority was notified that the bid had been approved to move to the next stage.
- In terms of outcomes for children and young people the following positive impacts were expected:-
 - the achievement of aspirational progress and attainment
 - good progress in all areas of preparing for adulthood
 - reduced travel time and associated anxiety for children and young people
 - meaningful relationships with the school for parents/carers
 - children and young people could have their health needs met locally
 - children and young people more involved in their local community
 - children and young people able better to develop independence.
- In addition, it was estimated that the provision would result in a long term saving to the high needs budget in the region of £250k to £500k per annum and in the in savings of £300k to £500k per annum in the local authority transport budget in the long term.

The Proposed Special Free School

- The school would accommodate up to 100 pupils aged 3-19 with needs in areas of communication and interaction and/or cognition and learning needs. Some children and young people may have related social, emotional and mental health needs but this would not be their primary need. Children and young people placed at the school would have an EHCP and would have been assessed as requiring this type of school.
- This would be a free school which are state funded academies outside of local authority control and operated by academy trusts. They had more control over how they operate, for example they do not have to follow the national curriculum. They are held accountable through Ofsted inspections and exam results.
- There was no opening date set for the school at present as the Department for Education had indicated that they did not anticipate that any of the special free schools in the current wave would open before September 2021

Proposed Site and Building the School

- In line with the bidding process, whereby a site which could be secured in a timely manner and where there was a commitment to use a local authority or Government owned site, it was also considered appropriate that these would not be costly to develop because of:-
 - ground contamination
 - flood risks
 - significant topographical issues
 - other costly issues.

- ◆ During the preparation of the bid two sites on the western side of Selby at Cross Hills were considered as well as the site at Osgodby. The Cross Hills sites were discounted on the basis of flood risk assessment in the context of the stated requirements. A search was undertaken of other Council and Government sites around Selby but none were deemed as suitable.
- The site at Osgodby was therefore selected on the basis of the following:-
 - it was already in the County Council's ownership
 - it was large enough for potential expansion of the school should this be required in future
 - it was accessible easily
 - it had a lower flood risk assessment than the other sites and better met the criteria set by the DfE.
- The school would be designed and procured by the DfE and their consultants, though they would work with the County Council, and the Trust appointed to open and operate the school. Work with the DfE in respect of the site and the building was in the early stages. Among considerations to be addressed would be the impact on the landscape and neighbouring properties, highway access and traffic and the public right of way which crosses the site. The DfE had to complete assessments before confirming the use of the site.
- The Planning Authority for the development of the school would be Selby District Council. A planning application for the school would be submitted by the DfE's consultant, however, it was unlikely that this would be until next year.

The Trust Competition Stage

- The local authority was engaged in a process with the DfE to identify an academy trust to open and operate the school. Engagement events had been held for proposer groups interested in making an application to operate the school. Comprehensive information had been published on the County Council's website for those meetings and the specification for the school.
- The window for applications from groups to open and operate the school closed at midday on 30 September 2019 and a process was now underway to consider the applications received. The groups would have to demonstrate that they could effectively provide high quality education for the children and young people who would attend the school. The County Council is involved in the process, however, the final decision on the successful proposer group rests with the Secretary of State for Education.
- It was expected that an announcement of the successful proposer group would be made in early 2020.
- Following a successful appointment there would be a pre-opening phase managed by the DfE. During that phase the trust would develop plans and meet legal requirements to turn their application into a school ready for opening. The time period for this could vary and potential opening dates were subject to confirmation from DfE.

Communications

Details of the proposals were on the County Council's website.

- Parents and carers of children with SEND in Selby were being kept up to date through links with the groups Bee-Able and Parent Power and through the SEND support group which meets at Selby Children Centre. In addition, the website had a section about the proposed school specifically for parents and carers.
- A meeting was held in July 2019 with residents of Osgodby in respect of the proposals and there had been subsequent communication with individual residents.
- A meeting was held with education providers in the Selby area in September 2019 to provide information about the proposals.
- ♦ A short article was provided for the September 2019 edition of Citizen Link.
- ♦ A more details communication plan was being developed during the autumn of 2019 as more information became available from the DfE.

Members were reminded that, as the proposals were to be the subject of a planning application to Selby District Council, any Members of the District Council's Planning Committee should declare an interest and leave the meeting or should keep an open mind on the proposals and declare that when considering the application. In relation to this County Councillor Mike Jordan stated that he was a Member of the District Council's Planning Committee, but in view of comments he was to make, would not be taking part in the consideration of the application.

A discussion of the report and the issues raised by the Osgodby Residents Action Association, were undertaken and the following issues and points were raised:-

- A Member, in welcoming the report, stated that he had been involved in developing the Local Development Plan at Selby District Council and noted that this development had not been proposed for that particular area. He asked why Committee Members had not been involved in choosing a site for the proposed school and why this had not been a matter for public participation at an Area Committee meeting. In response the Assistant Director explained that, in line with procurement rules, as the site was owned by the County Council, the decision had to be taken at Executive Member level, as that was part of the conditions set by the DfE. She emphasised that the planning process for the development of the site would allow other County Councillors and members of the public, to be involved and raise any concerns that they have. She noted that, at this stage, the proposals had not entered the planning process stage.
- It was clarified that local Members had no involvement in the decision making process for the location of the school as that was the responsibility of the relevant Executive Member, as detailed in the guidance.
- A Member agreed that there had been consultation with the families of SEND children, however, the general community had not been involved in the consultation process, which had led to many of the concerns that had arisen. It was also noted that Parish Councillors within that area had not been informed of the proposal, which had led to difficulties between them and people within the local community who could not believe that local elected Members had no knowledge of this matter. It was suggested that lessons should be

learned for future consultations around such developments. In response the Assistant Director acknowledged that, initially, consultation had been held with only SEND families, however, she emphasised that this was the right approach, as the consultation informed the plan for details to be developed. She also noted that when it had been announced that a special free school was to be provided for Selby, local Members had provided their support for that, alongside their support for the location of the facility. It was noted that this was prior to the submission of the bid, which had been made in October 2018 and the Council was notified it had been successful in March 2019. Details of the success of that bid had been shared with local Members in April 2019. This included local councillors and County Councillors. The Chairman emphasised that Members had no issue with the provision of the special school, however, issues had arisen with the location of the development and the lack of communication with the local community in relation to that. The Assistant Director outlined that, initially, the County Council had submitted an unsuccessful bid, therefore, when a second bidding opportunity arose, it was ensured that this would meet the appropriate criteria set by the DfE. The guidance in relation to that dictated the type of site that could be utilised and, by process of elimination, the Osgodby site had been chosen to match those criteria. The sites at Cross Hills had been deemed to be unacceptable because of the potential flood risk.

The relevant Executive Member, County Councillor Patrick Mulligan, was in attendance at the meeting and highlighted the following:-

- There was no doubt that a special school was required in the Selby area as had been highlighted during the meeting.
- The main issue that had arisen was finding an appropriate and suitable site.
- The issue of the location of the site had been communicated to local councillors, who had been in favour of the development, and it was perhaps an oversight in terms of putting the need of the school ahead of its location and potential impacts on the local community.
- With that in mind, future communication on such matters would take account of those factors, ensuring that there was much closer engagement with local elected members and local communities.
- He emphasised that the planning process would allow local councillors and the local community to consider and outline their objections in respect of the location of the proposal, when the plans had been submitted for consideration.
- He agreed that improvements were required to the communication process in relation to the development of such facilities.

The discussion continued as follows:-

A Member highlighted a consultation meeting that he had arranged in relation to the development, in July 2019. This involved members of the public and local Parish Councillors, to ensure that appropriate information was being provided to them, however, he acknowledged that this was a little late in terms of advice of the chosen site. It was suggested that the matter should have been brought to a meeting of the Area Committee, allowing members of the public to be involved at the same time as informing local members. Other Members stated that they were aware of the proposals but did not know of the site choice until April 2019 when they were informed of the Osgodby site. It

was emphasised that it would have been useful for local Members to have been involved in the discussions of the different sites before the choice was made

- A Member noted that it was difficult to accommodate everyone in terms of their wishes for the placement of a site, but suggested that a more central location could have been chosen as many young people would still have to travel long distances to access the development at Osgodby.
- A Member noted that he had been fully informed of the process, which could relate to him being a serving member on the Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee where details had been outlined. He considered that the facility was required in the area, therefore Members needed to accept an appropriate development site had been identified, rather than re-opening the site choice scenario.
- The Chairman noted the criteria that had been laid down by the DfE in relation to how the site should be chosen, but suggested that there were other areas of County Council owned land within the district and wondered why these had not been taken account of during the choice. He reiterated that having a special school in the district was positive for the area but raised concerns regarding the very few sites identified to be chosen for the development. In response it was stated that the sites identified were done so to ensure that the criteria laid down by the DfE were fully met. Prior to the identification of the final sites numerous sites throughout the district had been considered and dismissed. The details as to why they had been dismissed were not available at the meeting. It was also noted that the DfE would have to carry out their own assessments on the chosen site and would need to determine whether they felt the site was effectively sustainable. It was noted that for the bid to be submitted it was better that a site be identified beforehand, therefore, the elimination of the sites had taken place prior to that.
- The Chairman emphasised that, at this stage, no decision had been made on the development at the Osgodby site as the matter had to be considered by the DfE and go through the planning process at Selby District Council. As with all planning applications this would be decided on its own merits and Members and local people would have an opportunity to participate in that process. He again reiterated the position that having a special school in the Selby district was good news.

Resolved -

- (i) that the Executive member be thanked for his attendance on this matter; and
- (ii) that the report, and issues raised, be noted.

54. Superfast North Yorkshire - Broadband - Update

The Executive Member for Access, County Councillor Don Mackenzie, provided an update on the development and provision of Superfast Broadband in the constituency area, highlighting the following:-

The Superfast project had commenced in 2012, with Phase 1 being undertaken in conjunction with BT to provide broadband of greater than 24mbps 158,000 premises. The project had been developed as these connections would not have been financially viable for the private sector providers because North Yorkshire's population of 605,000, scattered over 3,000 square miles, is too

thinly spread. The cost of SFNY's Phase 1 roll out was approximately £170 per premise.

- Phase 2 of the project connected up approximately 17,000 further properties at an average cost of £480. This took account of some of the more difficult to access areas, to ensure that connectivity was in place.
- Phase 3 of the project had commenced and would connect up to 4,239 premises. Again these were mainly difficult to reach areas, which had not been addressed through the initial phases of the programme. Many of the Phase 3 connections were being undertaken through 'fibre to the premise', which provided significantly higher speeds of broadband than those in the initial phases. Around 2,000 premises had been connected through Phase 3 to date.
- Funding of around £20.5m, provided by NYCC, was being utilised to provide Phase 3 and, potentially this could be extended to up to £33m, to enhance this phase, providing a further 9k connections.
- The Constituency area had 80% of its premises now connected to Superfast Broadband, with that expected to rise to 86% by the end of Phase 3, Part 1. The Executive Member acknowledged that this was still too low as the aim was to ensure that 100% of premises were connected.
- A local full fibre network project had commenced in the area very recently, with the launch being at Brayton Primary School, near to the venue. The scheme involved investment of around £15.1m from DCMS, allowing 370 public buildings, including schools, to be connected to a full fibre network. This would have a connectivity of 1,000mb per second or more. 24 public buildings in the Selby area were to be connected, which would have benefits for neighbouring households and businesses. A detailed list of the public buildings to be connected would be provided to Members.

Following the initial presentation a number of issues and points were raised through discussion with Members, as follows:-

- A Member welcomed the update, but noted that two villages in his locality, Long Drax and Newland, were now having to access their connections through a radio mast from Howden, as they were yet to be connected to the Superfast Broadband network. In response the Executive Member acknowledged that there were many small villages in rural areas that were still to be connected to the network and a number had undertaken connection to a wireless programme at their own expenses by utilising Government grants available. He noted that many residents preferred a fibre connection, which was being provided through the Phase 3 of the scheme. He emphasised that where possible all areas would be connected eventually, however, this had to be measured up against ensuring that it was value for money to deliver the connections.
- The Chairman emphasised that despite the issues that had arisen regarding some areas being difficult to connect to the network, North Yorkshire was one of the first areas to benefit from the provision of Superfast Broadband, which was a good news story for the area, which should not be overlooked.
- A Member noted that many developers were now under an obligation to provide fibre connections to new developments and fibre was being provided to premises under Phase 3 of the Superfast project and wondered whether homes that had been previously connected using older methods would be upgraded to fibre. In response the Executive Member emphasised that the project was

to deliver 24mb and above per second to premises in North Yorkshire which had been carried out through the methods available at that time. It was unlikely, therefore, that those who had received appropriate connections, within the scope of the project, would be provided with fibre at a later date. This did not prevent anyone from seeking to upgrade their own provision through commercial providers.

- An issue regarding connections via poles was clarified and it was noted that fibre would not be provided through this method. In terms of an obligation for developers to provide fibre connections it was noted that this had been outlined by the Chairman at the beginning of the meeting, in terms of Selby District Council and it was noted that an earlier meeting had been advised of a similar planning condition utilised by Harrogate Borough Council. Members emphasised the need to ensure that as many developers were now providing fibre connections, other, existing premises should benefit from the available funding for the Superfast North Yorkshire project, allowing more people to benefit from the enhanced connections.
- Connectivity to industrial estates was raised as an issue. It was noted that many businesses had struggled through the lack of appropriate broadband connection and it was hopeful that the full fibre network would be of benefit to these companies. It was also noted that the implementation of the planning condition outlined, by the District Councils, would ensure that this was in place for future developments.
- The Co-opted Member stated that he had followed the development of the project very closely and raised some concerns regarding the provision to some of the harder to reach areas. He noted that there were solutions that could be obtained, via grants, by the communities themselves and many had obtained connections through this method. He noted the changes that had taken place in terms of the provision of broadband since the initial phase of the project in 2012, with much higher speeds now being obtained through the provision of full fibre connections, which in turn could create a further demand for enhanced connections from those who originally benefitted from the Superfast scheme. His main concern, however, was the issue around delivering value for money, in terms of Phase 3 of the project and how this could be delivered for some hard to reach areas. He expected that the cost for full fibre would be above £1k per premise, and if prices were to rise significantly from that, in terms of providing a connection, then it could be that local communities were ruled out from receiving an appropriate connection due to the value for money element. In response the Executive Member confirmed that the current cost per premise for connection, under the Phase 3 implementation, was around £1,400. Other solutions for the difficult to reach areas were also available to Superfast North Yorkshire and were being investigated. He emphasised, however, that the County Council had to decide on value for money in terms of whether it was too costly to implement a connection for a specific community or premise in terms of benefit v cost. Where this was the case those alternative solutions were under consideration.
- The Chairman noted that the Leader of the County Council had originally stated that the contract for Superfast Broadband was for a 100% upgrade to the levels required in terms of broadband connection. It was recognised that there were some difficulties in providing that connection to some areas in terms of value for money, but emphasised the need to continue to strive to meet that 100% commitment. He again emphasised that this was a huge success for the county, but acknowledged that those areas that had not received a connection would not see this as being the case. He emphasised the need to continue to

highlight the huge progress made and to try and meet that 100% commitment. The Executive Member echoed the Chairman's statement. He noted that he had a great deal of communication in relation to those areas that were still waiting to be connected and that every effort was being made to ensure that they were.

Resolved -

That the Executive Member be thanked for his update on the Superfast Broadband project, the contents of which be noted.

55. Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee Work Programme

Considered -

The report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services) providing details of the Work Programme for the Committee to consider, develop and adopt.

A Member considered that the role of the newly developed Area Constituency Committees differed from that of the previous Area Committees in terms of wanting to be more pro-active, and develop issues that were important to the local communities and could be progressed further. He stated that there had been a desire to move away from merely having reports to note, that were of interest to local Members, but had little development potential. He felt that there was a need for the Constituency Committee to take the lead on a number of matters and enable those to be developed accordingly to benefit the area. The Chairman agreed with this statement and noted that Executive Members regularly attended the Area Constituency Committee to assist with that progress. He suggested that it was for the Committee to set its Work Programme accordingly, to ensure that pro-active issues were being considered and developed. The Member who raised the issue suggested that an item on fairer funding was an important issue to be addressed by the Committee, linking in to national Government and various local authorities and organisations to see how this matter could be addressed. It was considered that this issue would be best revisited following the election of a MP for the area at the forthcoming General Election, to allow an input from Central Government in relation to that.

A Member referred to a document that had been received in relation to cycling and walking in the Selby district, with no item having been placed on the agenda for today's meeting. In response it was noted that this was a coincidence in terms of receiving the document, as the closing date for the consultation was the same date as the meeting, however, these two issues were entirely separate. It was noted that an item on cycling and cycle-ways would feature on the agenda for the next meeting of the Committee.

The Chairman emphasised that it was for Members to set the agenda for Area Constituency Committee meetings and to make suggestions as to topics that could be discussed for pro-active action to be taken and further developments to be made on those issues. It was noted that the free school issue had been raised by Members and had been acted upon accordingly in terms of bringing the matter to the Committee for discussion.

It was suggested that the issues of tourism in North Yorkshire and traffic congestion would be suitable items for consideration at forthcoming meetings. It was also emphasised that, whenever possible, the MP be invited to attend meetings of the Committee to assist with the debate and to allow feedback, both ways, on important Constituency matters.

Resolved -

- (i) That the development of the Work Programme, as described above, be undertaken and the items mentioned be included on forthcoming agendas for the Committee.
- (ii) That the contents of the report be noted.

56. Future Meetings

Resolved -

That the next meeting of the Committee be held on Monday 6 January 2020 at a venue to be confirmed at 10 am.

(The meeting was taking place on the above date, rather than that originally timetabled, in view of the need to submit comments to the Executive in relation to the 2020/21 County Council budget, which would be one of the main items for consideration at that meeting.)

The meeting concluded at 11.40 am.

SL/JR

North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

6 January 2020

Report of the Principal Democratic Services Officer

County Council Budget 2020/21

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To advise of the arrangements for reporting the County Council's budget for 2020/21 at this meeting and to seek the Committee's comments concerning the budget for referral to the Executive.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 The local government finance settlement is the annual determination of funding to local government and requires the approval of the House of Commons.
- 2.2 The local government settlement for 2020/21 has yet to be announced (at the time of writing this report).

3.0 Arrangements

- 3.1 Gary Fielding (the County Council's Corporate Director Strategic Resources) will attend this meeting to give a verbal briefing on the 2020/21 local government settlement and to respond to questions.
- 3.2 The Committee, having received the verbal briefing, is invited to comment on the County Council's budget for 2020/21.

4.0 Recommendation

- 4.1 That the local government settlement, as reported verbally at this meeting, be noted.
- 4.2 That the Committee's comments concerning the County Council's budget for 2020/21 be referred to the County Council's Executive for consideration.

Steve Loach
Principal Democratic Services Officer
Legal and Democratic Services
North Yorkshire County Council
County Hall, Northallerton, DL7 8AD
Email: stephen.loach@northyorks.gov.uk

Background Documents - None

NORTH YORKSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee 6 January 2020

Non-crime data – development of key lines of enquiry

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To enable committee members to identify some specific lines of enquiry relating to crime and non-crime incidents that can be followed up by North Yorkshire Police.

2.0 Background

2.1 The Area Committees were provided with extensive and detailed crime data upon a regular basis by North Yorkshire Police. Whilst this data was helpful in understanding what crimes had occurred in a given area, it did not enable the committee members to understand the broader range of socio-economic factors that contributed to crime, disorder and community stress. As such, there were limited opportunities for committee members to think about what prevention, early intervention and support could be put in place in their area and what the role of the Council could be.

3.0 Non-crime data

- 3.1 With the creation of the Area Constituency Committees in 2018 a decision was made to look afresh at the data, information and analysis that was being requested from North Yorkshire Police. Discussions took place with North Yorkshire Police Strategic Intelligence to better understand the data that would enable committee members to better understand their area and open up broader lines of enquiry about what actions could be taken. The outcome of these discussions was to focus upon non-crime data.
- 3.2 The majority of incidents that the police are deployed to are not concerned with crime but related to welfare issues, vulnerable people and anti-social behaviour. The split between crime and non-crime activity is about 20%/80%. Non-crime data tends to give a better sense of what is going on in a community and where the pressures are. It can also enable predictive data and analysis to be developed over time.

4.0 Development of lines of enquiry

- 4.1 A framework for the collection and analysis of trend non-crime data has been developed by North Yorkshire Police Strategic Intelligence. (Only trend data will be available to the committee. There will be no access to personal information or information that may enable the identification of an individual.) What they now need to understand is the type of trend data, information and analysis that the committee members are interested in so that a structured and targeted discussion can take place at a future meeting of the committee, rather than a more general overview.
- 4.2 The following questions, based upon the PESTELO risk assessment methodology, may assist members with the identification of some specific

lines of enquiry that can be used to interrogate the non-crime data. Non-crime data typically relates to welfare issues, vulnerable people and antisocial behaviour.

- Political have there been national policy changes and initiatives that may have had a detrimental impact locally?
- Economic how well is the local economy performing? Are there any social issues arising from low wage jobs, underemployment and unemployment?
- Social are there significant inequalities between different communities and groups across the district? How have changes in national and local government policies impacted upon people on low and fixed incomes?
- Technological what is the impact of technological advances upon how people work, socialise and buy and receive services?
- Environmental are there any risks associated with climate change? What are the differences in quality of life between urban and rural areas in the area?
- Legal have there been any changes to the way in which laws and policies are enforced?
- Organisational what changes have there been to the way in which the County Council commissions and delivers services and what affect has this had upon the local communities?

When thinking about the above, it may also be helpful to consider how these risks are likely to change over time.

5.0 Recommendation

That the committee identifies some specific lines of enquiry relating to non-crime incidents in their area which can be fed back to North Yorkshire Police Strategic Intelligence. Specific data and analysis can then be brought back to a future meeting of the committee for scrutiny.

BARRY KHAN

Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services)

County Hall NORTHALLERTON

5 December 2019

Report Author:
Daniel Harry
Democratic Services and Scrutiny Manager
North Yorkshire County Council



North Yorkshire County Council Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee – 17 January 2020 Cycle Path Network Provision

1.0 Purpose of the report

1.1 To outline North Yorkshire County Councils approach to cycle path network provision.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 In 2016 North Yorkshire County Council published LTP4, the fourth Local Transport Plan (LTP) which outlines the approach NYCC will take to a range of transport policies, opportunities, challenges and transport modes through to 2045.
- 2.2 The LTP includes a dedicated section on the council's approach to cycling. This can be seen here: (https://www.northyorks.gov.uk/local-transport-plan) The council is committed to providing for and promoting cycling as a mode of transport for both utility and leisure purposes.
- 2.3 NYCC recognise that there are a many positive benefits associated with cycling including reducing congestion, providing exercise, reducing pollution and offering a cheaper alternative to the private car or public transport. Despite the positives associated with cycling, NYCC also recognise a number of disincentives associated with cycling, including terrain, lack of confidence, lack of facilities and distance of journeys, in order to achieve the positives associated with cycling it is recognised that the disincentives must be addressed.
- 2.4 The LTP outlines that NYCC is committed to developing a cycling policy, which will identify the council's plans for cycling in the short and medium term. This is currently in development.
- 2.5 Nationally the preferred method of delivering cycle infrastructure is to focus on providing dedicated off road routes. However, these are expensive costing of the order of £150k per kilometre on green field sites and significantly more in urban areas. Unfortunately, in the current financial climate, and with competing priorities there is limited budget to deliver cycle routes. The County Council currently receives £3.023 million annual allocation for all transport improvements for the whole county or approximately £5 per head of population. A significant proportion of this funding is dedicated towards providing additional highway maintenance, our top transport priority. Therefore, the ability to deliver new cycle infrastructure is severely restricted.

2.6 Despite the funding restrictions, the County Council will continue to actively seek funding from the government for cycling initiatives by submitting ad hoc bids for funding when opportunities arise. The council was most recently successful in receiving funding from the Access Fund (approximately £900k) and the National Productivity Investment Fund (approximately £3.2m). The Access Fund is currently being used to promote sustainable transport initiatives in Harrogate, Scarborough and Skipton. The successful National Productivity Investment Fund bid was for a package of measures in the west of Harrogate which included a cycle track on Otley Road between the Cardale Park employment area and the town centre.

3.0 Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans (LCWIPs)

- 3.1 In 2017 the Government published its first Cycling Walking Investment Strategy, which set out the government's ambition to make walking and cycling the natural choices for shorter journeys or as part of a longer journey. The LCWIPs are designed to be a strategic local level document for identifying cycling and walking improvements.
- 3.2 As stated above, NYCC successfully bid for funding from DfT's Access Fund, as part of the bid NYCC identified an in-kind contribution of £60,000 to develop Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans (LCWIP's) for Harrogate, Scarborough and Skipton which were all identified as key growth centres in the County.
- 3.3 NYCC is also jointly working with Selby District Council and Ryedale District Council to develop LCWIPs for Selby, Sherburn, Tadcaster and Malton / Norton, and LCWIPs for Northallerton and Catterick Garrison will be commenced in the near future. Once these LCWIPs are complete, it will ensure the main settlement and growth centre in each district as identified in the Local Plans has an LCWIP.
- 3.4 It is important to note that there is no specific funding allocated by Government to deliver the LCWIP's. However, having a LCWIP in place enables the County Council to be in a bid ready position when government announce any funding competitions. In addition to this, having an LCWIP in place enables the County Council to request funding from developers to deliver sections of the identified network.
- 3.5 To develop an LCWIP and bid ready schemes for each town costs in excess of £50k. Therefore, it is not feasible to develop an LCWIP for each of the towns in North Yorkshire. There are also limited opportunities to bid for funding for cycling infrastructure, therefore a decision was made to concentrate on developing LCWIPs for the largest communities and growth centres in each of the districts.
- 3.6 Whilst to date only the Harrogate, Scarborough and Selby LCWIP's are complete or close to completion they are already being used to inform funding bids to Government. The National Productivity Investment Fund bid for the Otley Road cycle route was informed by the developing LCWIP for Harrogate and the developing LCWIPs for Skipton and Selby are currently being used to inform a bid into the Governments Transforming Cities Fund for cycle routes to improve sustainable transport access to the railway stations in these towns.

4.0 Equalities implications

4.1 Consideration has been given to the potential for any adverse equalities impacts arising from the recommendations of this report. As this report is for information only it is the view of officers that the recommendations included in this report do not have any adverse impacts on any of the protected characteristics identified in the Equalities Act 2010 and no Equalities Impact screening is required

5.0 Finance implications

- 5.1 As this report is for information only, it is the view of officers that the recommendations included in this report do not have any financial implications.
- 6.0 Legal implications
- 6.1 As this report is for information only, it is the view of officers that the recommendations included in this report do not have any financial implications.

7.0 Recommendations

7.1 It is recommended that Members of the Area Constituency Committee note the contents of this report.

Author: Samantha Raine Transport Planning Business and Environmental Services North Yorkshire County Council 5 November 2019



North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

6 January 2020

Work Programme

Purpose of Report

That Members review the Committee's work programme, taking into account the outcome of discussions on previous agenda items and any other developments taking place across the area.

Work Programme

The work Programme is attached at **Appendix 1.** Taking account of the areas of work identified at previous meetings and by the previous Area Committee, highlighted in Appendix 1, and the suggested area of work based upon current scrutiny activity at **Appendices 2**, **3**, **4**, **5** and **6**. Members are asked to continue to develop the Committee's work programme for 2019/20 and beyond.

Remit of the committee

The Area Constituency Committees:

- Act as a forum for Members to bring forward issues affecting their local Electoral Divisions
- Hear and respond to questions and statements from members of the public relating to anything affecting the community within the constituency area
- Agree a Work Programme which lists items of business which the Committee wishes to consider at future meetings
- Undertake meaningful scrutiny of local health issues within their constituency area, complementing the strategic work undertaken by the Scrutiny of Health Committee
- Undertake meaningful scrutiny of local transport issues within their constituency area, complementing the strategic work undertaken by Transport, Economy and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- Act as consultees in major decisions that affect their constituency area (including responding to consultations)
- Make recommendations on the application of Innovation funding (supported by the Stronger Communities Team)
- Develop a working relationship with the local MP, sharing updates and information on relevant local issues being addressed by the committee.

Scheduled committee dates in 2019/20

Forthcoming committee dates are:

• 10am on Friday 3 April 2020

Scheduled Committee dates for 2020/21

Committee dates are:

- 10am on Friday 12th June 2020
- 10am on Friday 25th September 2020
- 10am on Friday 15th January 2021
- 10am on Friday 9th April 2021

Recommendation

Members are asked to consider and develop the Committee's work programme and note the dates of forthcoming meetings.

Steve Loach Democratic Services

December 2019

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee Work Programme 2019/20

10am on 14 June 2019							
Subject	Description						
Economic Development Strategy	To consider how the Economic Development Strategy for the Constituency Area is progressi with particular emphasis on the co-ordination of activities by the relevant lead authorities and organisations.						
Digital Strategy	To provide an update on the development of a range of technologies that will improve connectivity, access to services and the opportunities for business						
HGV Overnight Parking on NYCC Highway Network To consider the progress made on this issue since it was last reported to the Committee November 2018 in view of Members concerns in respect of this.							
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings						
	10am on 8 November 2019						
Subject	Description						
Discussion of issues with Local MP	Discussion of issues of relevance with the Local MP						
Homelessness/Rough Sleeping	To consider how the Constituency area is affected by this issue and what is being done in respect of this and its links to the next item						
Mental Health	To consider how the Constituency area is affected by this issue and what is being done in respect of this and its links to the above item						
New Special School provision	To receive details of the proposed new Special School provision in Selby						
Superfast North Yorkshire - Update	To update Members on the development and provision of Superfast Broadband in the Constituency area						
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings						

10am on 6 January 2020					
Subject	Description				
Annual Review of the County Council's Budget	To review the budget and make recommendations to Executive				
Profile of non-crime data	To provide Members with details of non-crime data in the ACC area to provide a context to underlying issues affecting the work of North Yorkshire Police				
Cycle Paths and Cycling	To consider the provision of cycle paths in the ACC area and the benefits of cycling in terms of public health				
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings				

10am on 3 April 2020						
Subject	Description					
Stronger Communities	Annual update and Community Showcase					
Homelessness, Metal Health and vulnerable people – Collaborative working with District Council	Follow on from item considered at 8 th November 2019 Meeting					
Discussion of issues with Local MP	Discussion of issues of relevance with the Local MP					
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings					

Scheduled Meeting for remainder of 2019/20:-

3rd April 2020

Scheduled Meeting dates for 2020/21

12th June 2020 25th September 2020 15th January 2021 9th April 2021

Areas of work previously identified for Inclusion in the Work Programme:

- 1. Economic Development Strategies
- 2. Road Safety in the Selby District
- 3. The "Better Together" Initiative
- 4. Local enterprise and future investment LEPs
- 5. Traffic management and traffic congestion
- 6. The development of appropriate skills for emerging employment opportunities
- 7. Fairer Funding
- 8. Tourism

Author:

Steve Loach Democratic Services December 2019

Transport, Economy and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee – Work Programme Schedule 2019/20

Scope

'Transport and communications infrastructure of all kinds, however owned or provided, and how the transport needs of the community are met.

Supporting business, helping people develop their skills, including lifelong learning.

Sustainable development, climate change strategy, countryside management, waste management, environmental conservation and enhancement flooding and cultural issues.'

Meeting dates

Scheduled Committee Meetings	24 Oct 2019 10am	23 Jan 2020 10am	15 April 2020 10am	13 July 2020 10am	22 Oct 2020 10am	21 Jan 2021 10am	14 April 2021 10am
Scheduled Mid Cycle Briefings Attended by Group Spokespersons only	5 Dec 2019 10am	27 Feb 2020 10am	2 June 2020 10am	10 Sept 2020 10am	10 Dec 2020 10am	25 Feb 2021 10am	

Reports

Meeting Subject		Aims/Terms of Reference					
Consultation, progress and performance monitoring reports							
Each meeting as	Corporate Director and / or Executive Member update	Regular update report as available each meeting					
available	Work Programme	Regular report where the Committee reviews its work programme					

Transport, Economy and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee – Work Programme Schedule 2019/20							
Meeting	Subject	Aims/Terms of Reference					
24 October 2019	Highways England	Regular annual update					
	Rail developments	Update report on the rail franchise, Rail North and Transport for the North					
Items where dates have yet to be confirmed	HGV overnight parking in North Yorkshire	To explore the issues of HGV overnight parking in North Yorkshire and ways to respond once a county-wide draft policy has been developed.					
	Tourism in North Yorkshire	Overview of the work and future plans of Welcome to Yorkshire.					
	Promoting access to our heritage	Overview of the County Council's heritage service					
	Winter Highways Maintenance	Overview of the policy on Winter Highways Maintenance					
	Traffic management in the county: tacking traffic congestion	Overview of the ways that the County Council can tackle traffic congestion problems in the county such as through the use of smart traffic lighting to control traffic flow. Road junction road improvements in Harrogate and Scarborough town to be taken as examples.					
	Countryside access	Overview of the County Council's countryside service and priorities (including unclassified roads, prioritisation of the public rights of way network and improving the definitive map processes)					

In-depth Scrutiny Projects/Reviews

Subject	Aims/Terms of Reference	Timescales
The North Yorkshire economy post-Brexit	Steering group (via mid cycle briefings) comprising of the Group Spokespersons set up to consider the measures required to support the local economy following the triggering of Article 50 of the Treaty of Lisbon by the UK government.	Ongoing (commenced March 2017)
20 mph speed limit policy	Response to the publication of the National Research project by the Department for Transport examining 20mph speed limits	Commenced May 2019

Please note that this is a working document, therefore topics and timeframes might need to be amended over the course of the year.

NORTH YORKSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Scrutiny of Health Committee – Work Programme/Areas of Involvement – 2019/20

	13	1	13	24	13	
	Sep	Nov MCB	Dec COM	Jan MCB	Mar COM	
	COIVI	MICE	COM	MICE	COIVI	
Strategic Developments						Comment
NHS Clinical Commissioning Groups and Foundation Trust funding						A briefing for committee members - TBC
New models for health and social care delivery in rural areas					✓	Initial presentation by NYCC and NHS on models and best practice elsewhere and how it could be applied locally
Patient Transport Service – changes to the application of eligibility criteria			✓			12 month follow up to committee meeting on 14 December 2018 to ascertain whether there have been any adverse consequences to the changes.
Air Ambulance Service – overview					✓	Overview of the Air Ambulance Service and how it links in with other emergency services.
Local Service Developments						
 Integrated prevention, community care and support in Scarborough and Ryedale – Humber NHS Foundation Trust and North Yorkshire CCGs 					✓	Update on the services that are provided by the FT in Whitby and the use of the two in-patient wards in Malton Community Hospital – commissioner and provider to attend
6. Future plans for Whitby Hospital – HRW CCG			√			Update on progress with the new model of delivery – co-ordinate with the Area Constituency Committee
7. Scarborough East Coast Review	✓					Update on progress with the review of services and any proposed changes
General surgery provision at Scarborough Hospital					✓	6 month review of the provision of a single Trust – wide rota
Breast oncology services at Scarborough			✓			6 month review of the impact of the temporary transfer of the service to York and Hull and recruitment of consultants
10. Stroke service provision in Harrogate			2 /		✓	Review of first 12 months of operation of new hyper acute stroke service.

34

11.Mental health services in the north of the county (Friarage and Roseberry Park) – TEWV and HRW CCG	✓			Updates on progress with the: rectification of the Roseberry Park site; the transfer of patients from the 2 in-patient wards at the Friarage; and progress with the development of the new community hub in Northallerton.
12.Mental Health Service in York/Selby area and Bootham Hospital – TEWV and VoY CCG	✓			Progress with the build of the new York Hospital (opening April 2020) and the development of the Selby community hub
13.Mental Health Services in Harrogate and the surrounding area – TEWV and HRD CCG	✓			Outcome of engagement (24 June 2019 - 13 September 2019) on the new model for enhanced community care, details of proposed closure of 2 mental health in-patient wards at Harrogate Hospital and projected use of York mental health hospital.
14. Sustainable Future for the Friarage Hospital in Northallerton – HRW CCG and South Tees FT	✓		✓	Consultation launch in September and early analysis of results in December
15. Decommissioning of GP based minor injury service in HRW CCG area	1			Impact of the decommissioning of the enhanced minor injury service in general practice in Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) area. Review of similar services in other CCG areas.
16. Acute Provider Collaboration - Airedale NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust		~	~	Early discussion - to the Mid Cycle Briefing on 1 November 2019 to determine lines of enquiry for the committee.
Public Health Developments				
Development of base-line data and an on-going monitoring system on the impact of shale gas extraction – Public Health England				Lincoln Sargeant and Simon Padfield PHE - TBC
Dentistry provision in North Yorkshire NHS England				NHS England (Yorkshire and Humber) – review the plan for commissioning the wider dental pathway - TBC
 Community pharmacies – market adjustment and access to services 				NHS England, Public Health and Community Pharmacy North Yorkshire - TBC

Optometry - market adjustment and access to services					Lines of enquiry to be confirmed
Immunisation coverage in North Yorkshire			✓		Public Health overview of take up rates, disease prevalence and communications campaigns
6. Public Health funding reductions		✓			Overview of impact and mitigating actions. To Mid Cycle Briefing on 1 November 2019 and then committee meeting.
In-depth Projects					
Health and social care workforce planning – Scrutiny of Health and Care & Independence OSC			1		Progress report
Dying well and End of Life Care - HWB				✓	Progress report
Joint scrutiny of health and social care integration with the Care and Independence OSC	✓				Interim copy of report to be circulated to the membership of the committee
Joint scrutiny					
Joint health scrutiny committee review by North Yorkshire, Leeds and York held on 15 February 2019					Follow up on October 2019 subject to the outcome of the engagement exercise on the new model for enhanced community services.
Children's mental health services					Joint scrutiny with the NYCC Young People's Overview and Scrutiny Committee - TBC
Integrated health and social care services in Harrogate					Joint scrutiny with the NYCC Care and Independence OSC - TBC

Meeting dates 2019/20

mooning dated zo io/zo			
Agenda Briefing*	10 September 2019 at 10.30am	10 December 2019 at 10.30am	
Scrutiny of Health Committee	13 September 2019 at 10am	13 December 2019 at 10am	13 March 2020 at 10am
Mid Cycle Briefing*	1 November 2019 at 10.30am	24 January 2020 at 10.30am	

^{*}Agenda Briefings and Mid Cycle Briefings are attended by the Chair, Vice Chair and Group Spokespersons only.

Corporate & Partnerships Overview and Scrutiny Committee Remit

Scope

- The Council's corporate organisation and structure, resource allocation, asset management, procurement policy, people strategy, equality and diversity, performance management, communication and access to services.
- Partnership working, community development, community engagement, community strategies and community safety.
- This Committee is the Crime & Disorder Committee for the purposes of Part 3 of the Police and Justice Act 2006.

Agenda Briefings (Attended by Group Spokespersons only) - will be held at 9.30am on the day of the committee meeting, in the Elm Room

Corporate and Partnerships Overview and Scrutiny Committee Draft 2019-2020 Work Programme						
Commit	tee Meeting - 17 June 2019 @ 10:30am in Brierley Room					
Community Safety	Bi-annual Update on Community Safety Plan Delivery & Partnership Working – Odette Robson					
Community Libraries	Update on Libraries Services – Chrys Mellor					
Locality Budgets	Annual Update on delivery – Neil Irving					
2020 Council – Partnerships and Traded services – Annual Report of the Brierley – Gary Fielding - DEFERRED						
Customer Access	Update on the Operation of the Parish Portal and Parish Council engagement – Nigel Smith					
Adult Reoffending Review Draft Final Report – Melanie Carr						
Mid Cy	cle Briefing – 29 July 2019 @ 10:30am - CANCELLED					
Work Plan 2019/20	Consideration of the work programme for this municipal year					
Committee	Meeting – 2 September 2019 @ 10:30am in Brierley Room					
Corporate Volunteering Project	Six-monthly progress update on corporate volunteering project – Marie-Ann Jackson					
Equality and Diversity	Overview of progress with achieving the Council's Equality and Diversity objectives & update on the development of an Action Plan by Management Board Task Group – Deb Hugill					
Annual Council Budget Setting	An overview of the approach to budget setting; key pressures; options for the forthcoming year; longer term aims etc – Gary Fielding					

Brierley Group Annual Report 2018/19	Annual update on the work of the Brierley Group – Gary Fielding (deferred from June 2019 meeting) – Gary Fielding / Michael Leah	
Notice of Motion on Social Housing	Officer response to notice of motion on social housing and Brierley Homes - Michael Leah	
Community Safety – Youth Justice	Presentation on Annual Update on Implementation of the Youth Justice Strategic Plan – Vicky Metheringham & Steve Walker	
Mi	d Cycle Briefing – 28 October 2019 @ 10:30am in	
Work Plan 2019/20	Consideration of the work programme for this municipal year	
NYCC Property / Land Holdings	Discussion regarding possible scrutiny review of NYCC property/land holdings	
Committee	Meeting – 2 December 2019 @ 10:30am in Brierley Room	
Community Safety - PFCC	Update on the implementation of plans for collaboration between North Yorkshire Police and North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Service – Julia Mulligan, Police, Fire & Crime Commissioner	
Investment Strategy	Update on NYCC's Investment Strategy & its Investments – Karen Iveson	
Customer Access	Update on the Operation of the Customer Portal - Sarah Foley	
Mi	d Cycle Briefing – 20 January 2020 @ 10:30am in	
Work Plan 2019/20	Consideration of the work programme for this municipal year	
Committe	ee Meeting – 2 March 2020 @ 10:30am in Brierley Room	
Community Safety - NYCSP	Bi-annual Update on Implementation of the CSP Plan and Partnership Working	
North Yorkshire Syrian Refugee Settlement Programme	Annual Programme Update – Jonathan Spencer	
Annual Workforce Plan	Review of Annual Plan – Justine Brooksbank	
Corporate Risk Register	Annual Review of Corporate Risk Register – Fiona Sowerby	
Insurance	Annual Update on Insurance Claims, Risk Exposure & Management – Fiona Sowerby	
Mid Cycle Briefing – 20 April 2020 @ 10:30am in		

Melanie Carr May 2019

Care and Independence Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Scope

The needs of vulnerable adults and older people and people whose independence needs to be supported by intervention from the public or voluntary sector

Meeting Details

	Thursday 27 June 2019 at 10am
Committee meetings	Thursday 26 September 2019 at 10am
	Thursday 28 November 2019 at 10am
	Thursday 5 March 2019 at 10am

Programme

BUSINESS FOR THURSDAY	27 June 2019		
Direct Payments	Take up of Direct payments as a part of personal budgets. Update on Directorate performance	How NYCC is ensuring that Direct Payments enable more choice and control over the support people receive and how their social care needs are met.	Chris Jones- King/Toya Bastow
Learning Disabilities	Progress against the HWB Strategy Understanding Co-production		Chris Jones-King/ Cara Nimmo/Abigail Barron
BUSINESS FOR THURSDAY	26 September 2019		
Annual Safeguarding Board Report	•	On hold. Difficulty encountered finding suitable dates	Sheila Hall and Sue Proctor

Health and Social Care Integration	Task Group Report - Final		Ray Busby
Budget position	Operational Actions overspend update	Assurance item	Anton Hodge
Short Breaks/respite review –	possible update and report of the findings of engagement sessions	More likely date for Short Breaks/respite review is the next committee meeting in November	Dale Owens
Mental Health – implementation and pathway	Probable approach more towards implementation and pathway rather than SBA work		Chris Jones-King
Living Well - evaluation	Possible link to Stronger communities item and social proscribing		Chris Jones- King/Cath Simms
Assistive Technology - Independent Living. To include reference to procurement	How NYCC uses Assistive Technology	Understanding and evaluation	Dale Owens/Mike Rudd
BUSINESS FOR THURSDAY	28 November 2019	•	<u> </u>
Short Breaks/respite review – possible news on engagement sessions	More likely date for Short Breaks/respite review		Dale Owens
Transfers of Care Annual update	Performance item		
BUSINESS FOR THURSDAY	5 March 2020	•	<u>·</u>
Commissioned Services: The Provider perspective	Series managed dialogue/conversation with providers:	eg Wellbeing, Prevention and mental health contracts, Advocacy, Dementia Support	

Mid Cycle Briefings Dates - all at 10am

25 July 2019

Suicide prevention and audit
Support for Carers – in particular how we respond to pressures upon families. Preparation for committee item.
DolS /LPS informal briefing
Harrogate Integrated Working

Primary Care Engagement -informal briefing?

31 October 2019

DPH Annual Report
Public Health grant review - priorities
Public Health Campaigns briefing
Reablement Prevent Reduce Delay current situation informal briefing
Local Account
Meeting Substance Misuse Providers

13 February 2020

Market Intervention and Residential Care/Domiciliary trade Review in-house residential provision Extra Care Programme Update and overview

YOUNG PEOPLES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

<u>Scope</u>

The interests of young people, including education, care and protection and family support.

Meeting Details

	Friday 28 June 2019 at 10am
Committee Meetings	Friday 6 September 2019 at 10am
	Friday 6 December 2019 at 10am
	Friday 28 February 2020 at 10am

Programme

Friday 28 June 2019 at 10.00am			
Disabled Children Service	Current challenges, priorities activity, covering how we are meeting needs in more inclusive and enabling ways.	Overview item	Jane le Sage and Karl Podmore
Healthy Child Programme		Consideration of agreed approach prior to Executive consideration	Emma Lonsdale
School Deficits	An update following the briefing given earlier in the year		Howard Emmett
Friday 6 September 2019			
Safeguarding	Annual Report/Update on Children Safeguarding Board		(Maggie Atkinson has confirmed)
Young people and Sex Education; Managing Risk and Safeguarding			

Children, Adolescents and the Media (online networks, social inclusion and bullying			
Annual report of the Looked After Children's Group			Cllr Annabel Wilkinson
Supporting children in education who have medical condition, especially as chronic (life threating) illness	Programmed to be part of a consultation exercise		Jane le Sage
Friday 6 December 2019 at 10am			
Teacher recruitment - the Rural Challenge			
Report of the Young Peoples Champion			Cllr Annabel Wilkinson
The experience of young people in foster			
care as they transition into adulthood			
Friday 28 February 2019 at 10am			
Young Carers	Especially those who support adults with mental health issues		

Mid Cycle Briefing Items

Date	Probable Item
26 July 2019	Academies and how they serve communities
18 October 2019	This date will be changed. Provisionally 1 November 2019
17 January 2020	Small Schools and their sustainability - scoping
3 April 2020	The Citizenship Agenda: Education and Democratic Citizenship

Other sessions

Young People with additional needs transitioning to Adulthood

How NYCC supports and offers guidance to a young person aged 14 to 25 with special educational needs or a disability. Including access to education, training and employment, and to live as independently as possible. Possible informal workshop session with Care and Independence Overview and Scrutiny Committee members.

Elective Home Education

Provisional Date 1 November at 2pm agreed. New guidance may be available for this date